

SPE RESPONSE FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

Paper No.: _____

DATE : 04/29/06


TO SPE OF : ART UNIT 1616

SUBJECT : Request for Certificate of Correction for Appl. No.: 10/813721 Patent No.: 7022312

Please respond to this request for a certificate of correction within 7 days.

Please review the requested changes/corrections as shown in the **COCIN** document(s) in the IFW application image. No new matter should be introduced, nor should the scope or meaning of the claims be changed.

Please complete the response (see below) and forward the completed response to scanning using document code **COCX**.


Certificates of Correction Branch
703-308-9390 ext. 123

Thank You For Your Assistance

The request for issuing the above-identified correction(s) is hereby:

Note your decision on the appropriate box.

☒ **Approved**

All changes apply.

☐ **Approved in Part**

Specify below which changes **do not** apply.

☐ **Denied**

State the reasons for denial below.

Comments: _____


J. M. WILSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SPE

1616
Art Unit



10/813721

CFR

Attorney Docket No. 00027.05CON

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Joshua D. Rabinowitz, et al.)

Patent No.: 7,022,312)

Examiner: M. Haghighatian

Issue Date: April 4, 2006)

Group Art Unit: 1616

For: DELIVERY OF ANTIEMETICS)
THROUGH AN INHALATION ROUTE)

Certificate

APR 24 2006

of Correction

Attn: Certificate of Correction Branch
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION OF PATENT
FOR PTO MISTAKE (37 CFR 1.322(a))**

1. Attached is Form PTO/SB/44, which is suitable for printing.
2. The exact location where the error occurred is in the Claims, column 14, line 55, as follows:

Claim 9 reads:

9. The condensation aerosol according to Claim 8, wherein the condensation aerosol is characterized by an MMAD of 0.2 to 3 microns.

Claim 9 should read:

9. The condensation aerosol according to Claim 1, wherein the condensation aerosol is characterized by an MMAD of about 0.2 to about 3 microns.

3. These changes were submitted in an Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.312(a) filed on January 18, 2006. A copy of this Amendment together with a copy of the date-stamped postcard receipt is enclosed. The minor errors, described above, were incurred through the fault of the Office and therefore no fee is believed due.

*Lohann,
these changes
were in the
examiner's
Amendment.
They are
OK.
Thanks
[Signature]*

**37 CFR 1.8
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20237 on April 17, 2006.

Signature: *Veronica Doucet*

Name: Veronica Doucet

APR 24 2006